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A. Faculty Appointment and University Policy:

1. Faculty of the School of Architecture are elected by action of The Board of Visitors. The School of Architecture follows the University of Virginia’s policy on promotion and tenure, and the policy on employment of academic general faculty members (tenure-ineligible). These definitions and procedures can be found at the Provost’s web site:
http://www.virginia.edu/provost/docs_policies/tenure.html

2. This School of Architecture document is subordinate to the University’s Faculty Handbook and includes criteria and procedures specific to the School of Architecture.

3. Appendix 1 contains an advisory schedule; Appendix 2 contains Guidelines for Assessment of Design Research.

B. Promotion, Tenure and Reappointment Review for Tenure-track and Tenured Faculty in the School of Architecture

1. General Overview

The review of candidates for reappointment, tenure or promotion within the School is a four-stage process:

In the first stage, which is entirely the responsibility of the candidate, the candidate assembles materials for review and writes a succinct (approximately 2,500 words) statement outlining his or her accomplishments to date and future vision in the areas of teaching, research, and service. The candidate is responsible for framing this material in a way that sketches the broad and compelling nature of the case.

In the second stage tenured members of the candidate’s department deliberate on the case, reviewing the candidate’s materials and statement and weighing letters solicited by the Department Chair from outside reviewers with knowledge in the candidate’s field. Each tenured faculty member writes an evaluation of the case and participates in a meeting and formal vote on the case. Tenured faculty members who are on leave or are unable to participate in the meeting or vote submit their evaluation and vote in writing to the Chair. A detailed Report and Recommendation of the Department along with supporting evidence, and the faculty vote, is prepared by the Department Chair and transmitted to the School Promotion, Tenure and Reappointment Committee. The presentation of this report in person allows the PTR Committee the opportunity to question the Department Chair. In the case of promotion to full professor, only full professors engage in the deliberations.

In the third stage of the review, the School Promotion, Tenure and Reappointment Committee (PTR) reviews the candidate’s materials, external letters of evaluation, and the Report and Recommendation of the Department to make a recommendation. The PTR Committee will assess the thoroughness, fairness and appropriateness of the Department’s review. The Committee may seek clarification or additional information from the Department. The School PTR Committee will also review written reports from any member of the tenured faculty, or in the case of promotion to full professors any full professor, who wishes to contribute to their deliberations.

The PTR Committee Recommendation and Report, along with their own vote totals, will be transmitted to the Dean.
In the fourth-stage of the review the Dean writes his or her recommendations, reflecting his or her own judgments on the substance, the process, and the recommended outcome. The Departmental and Promotion, Tenure and Reappointment Committee reviews are advisory. The Dean exercises independent judgment and communicates the decision to the Candidate, Department Chair and Chair of PTR Committee. The Dean’s recommendation is transmitted to the Provost.

The following policies and procedures communicate the specifics of this process.

2. Definition and Qualifications for Tenure, Promotion and Reappointment

At all levels, the major considerations for renewal of contract, award of tenure, and promotion to full professor are: a) excellence of teaching and advising, b) excellence of scholarly work and/or creative work including, but not exclusive to, design research (see Appendix 2), and c) excellence in service to the School, the University, the community, and/or the discipline.

Excellence must flow from all three areas. Going beyond standards in one area does not compensate for deficits in the other two.

2.1 Reappointment criteria: The candidate must present evidence of:

a. Being, or becoming, an excellent teacher;

b. Excellence in scholarship, publications, and/or creative work including, but not exclusive to, design research;

c. Sustained contributions to the School, the University, the community, and/or the discipline.

Reappointment may occur after one, two, or three years, depending on the department. The candidate is expected to show adequate progress toward tenure, as specified in 3.2 below.

2.2 Associate Professor promotion and tenure criteria: The candidate must present evidence of:

a. Excellent teaching and sustained commitment to instruction, effectiveness as a contributor to the intellectual development of students through course design, course material, or other mechanisms of enhancing student learning;

b. Excellence of scholarship, publications, and/or creative work including, but not exclusive to, design research that leads to national recognition, or potential thereof, as verified by evaluations by professional peers external to the university;

c. Substantial contributions to the institution and public service work that advances the aims or achievements of organizations or institutions associated with the candidate’s expertise, or which develops new standards or opportunities for advancing the candidate’s area of expertise.

2.3 Full Professor promotion criteria: The candidate must present evidence of:

a. Continued intellectual growth as demonstrated by additional peer-reviewed work since tenure.
b. National or international recognition of the candidate as an expert in one or more substantive areas.

c. Continued excellence in the classroom.

d. Continued engagement in service to the Department, School, University, and professional organizations.

2.4 Recommendation for early promotion: Promotion to associate professor with tenure lacking the full probationary term of six years, or full professor without the five years in rank will be rare.

3. Nominations for Reappointment, Tenure and Promotion:

3.1 A list of all faculty in the School, giving years of service, time in rank, and other pertinent employment information, will be made available to the PTR Committee, the Department Chairs and upon request to interested faculty by the Dean.

3.2 A faculty member may be self-nominated for promotion candidacy, reappointment or tenure or may be nominated by another faculty member, or the Department Chair. All such nominations are directed in writing to the Department Chair, with copies of the nomination to the candidate, the Chair of the PTR Committee and Dean. Names are typically submitted in April for consideration the following year.

3.3 Candidates for promotion, tenure, or reappointment should submit curriculum vitae, references, a statement of intent and supporting materials to their respective Department Chair.

3.3.1. Curriculum Vitae: The CV should clearly distinguish between peer-reviewed and non-reviewed publications.

3.3.2. References: Tenure and promotion candidates provide names and addresses of six external reviewers who have knowledge of the candidate’s teaching, creative work, or service. The list should include persons from faculty at other universities. In addition, candidates should provide names of at least three former students. Reappointment candidates provide references only if specifically requested by the Department Chair.

3.3.3. Statement of Intent: Provide a statement describing research foci, career objectives, and design and/or scholarly work now in progress. The statement should be directed toward a broader audience than the candidate’s department, since this statement will be read by the external reviewers, the faculty of the Department and the School, and the Provost’s P&T Committee. The statement should explain the candidate’s qualifications, the area of expertise, the evidence of recognition, the impact in the field or allied fields of scholarship and creative work, and the trajectory for future work.

3.3.4 The supporting materials should include:

3.3.4.1 Teaching materials such as course outlines, design programs, syllabi and a few samples of student work, as well as teaching evaluations.

3.3.4.2 Selected photographs or drawings of the most significant projects and built work.

3.3.4.3 Selected publications, including books, articles, publications of work
or other forms of dissemination.

3.3.4.4 Work in preparation for publication.

3.3.4.5 For work prepared jointly with others, describe the candidate’s role and contribution.

3.3.5 Candidates should submit materials in an indexed pdf of approximate maximum length 300 pages, accompanied by publications in original form when possible.

3.3.5.1 The candidate is responsible for assembling all materials. Original materials submitted for School of Architecture review will be returned after the review is complete.

3.3.5.2 The candidate may provide printed copies of their materials if desired, in addition to the digital copy.

4. Responsibilities of the Departments:

4.1 Leadership in Reappointment, Tenure and Promotion cases:

4.1.1 The overall management of the Reappointment, Tenure and Promotion case review within the Departments is the responsibility of the respective Department Chair.

4.1.2 When a Department Chair is a candidate for promotion or tenure, the Chair of the PTR Committee, with the advice of the PTR Committee, appoints a senior faculty member from the Committee or from the respective department faculty to assume all of the usual Department Chair’s duties for that one case, including background research, Departmental review and the presentation of Departmental report and Recommendations to the PTR Committee.

4.1.3 In a case of promotion to Full Professor where the candidate’s Department Chair is not a Full Professor, the Dean appoints a Full Professor, possibly from another department, to assume the Chair’s duties in preparing and presenting the case.

4.2 The Departmental Review:
The Department’s tenured faculty assess and vote on the candidate’s case after each has an opportunity to review the evidence completed by the Department as outlined in the following sections. All tenured faculty are expected to prepare a written evaluation of the candidate’s case. This will be followed by a discussion meeting and vote. For cases of promotion to Full Professor, the discussion and vote are limited to Full Professors.

4.2.1 Review all published work, executed works by the candidate as well as any works in progress.

4.2.2 In tenure and promotion cases, review at least six letters from outside faculty, professionals and/or experts knowledgeable in the candidate’s field, who have been solicited by the Department Chair, who do not have conflict of interests in assessing the candidate.

4.2.3 Inquire into the candidate’s scholarly and pedagogical contributions to the School by soliciting, through an open call, written assessments from School of Architecture faculty who shall have access to the same candidate submitted materials as available to members of the candidate’s department.
4.2.4 When appropriate given the candidate’s areas of expertise, inquire into the candidate’s scholarly and pedagogical contributions by soliciting assessments of her/his scholarship from University faculty outside the School of Architecture or from former/emeritus faculty who may have knowledge and history of the candidate’s development and achievements.

4.2.5 Inquire into the candidate’s abilities as a teacher by the following procedures, in addition to those outlined in the University guidelines:

   4.2.5.1 Review all of the candidate’s teaching materials such as course outlines, design programs, syllabi and a few samples of student work, as well as teaching evaluations.

   4.2.5.2 Assess the candidate’s teaching effectiveness and results of coursework completed under the candidate’s direction. As part of this judgment, the Department interviews selected students from current and previous classes, appoints someone to attend classes, and prepares a summary.

   4.2.5.3 Solicit evaluations on the teaching abilities of the candidate from students who have taken courses with the candidate. The process of identifying the students and collecting the evaluations will be done by the School of Architecture Student Council or other appropriate student organizations, in cooperation with the Department Chair.

4.2.6 Identify and evaluate the candidate’s record of service in relation to the criteria in Sections 2.1-2.3.

4.3 Participation by other departments: In some cases the candidate may have joint appointments (other than courtesy appointments) in more than one department at the University.

   4.3.1 When a candidate holds a joint appointment between two or more departments, either within the School or in the larger University, a tenured faculty member from the other department(s) shall be jointly selected by the chairs of the joint appointment departments to participate and vote in the candidate’s departmental deliberations.

   4.3.2 When a candidate’s department jointly offers a degree with other departments, a tenured faculty member from the other department(s) shall be jointly selected by the chairs of the joint program departments to participate and vote in the candidate’s departmental deliberations. This does not apply to dual degree agreements where each department offers its own degree.

4.4 Voting: It is the responsibility of all tenured faculty, except the Dean, to participate in department voting, with abstentions only in extreme circumstances as permitted by the Department Chair. Departmental faculty serving on the PTR Committee also vote in that Committee’s deliberations.

4.5 The Departmental Report: The Department reports the process, findings, vote and recommendation on each candidate in a Departmental Report. The PTR Committee will act on a candidate’s file only after the case is complete and fully reviewed by the Department.

   4.5.1 A complete file will include at least the following material:

      4.5.1.1 The written report of the candidate’s Department Chair: The report will include an overview of the Departmental Review process, a complete
employment record and an assessment of the candidate's qualifications covering their entire career, comments by external reviewers, vote by the Departmental faculty, copies of annual reports, prior assessments, and any other relevant materials.

4.5.1.2 The Department Chair’s report will include a short biographical sketch for each external reviewer, the person who recommended the reviewer, and the reason the reviewer was selected.

4.5.1.3 Letters from outside reviewers accompanied with a copy of the letter soliciting the review and the reviewer’s brief curriculum vitae.

4.5.1.4 Written evaluations by departmental faculty.

4.5.1.5 The candidate’s statement and supporting materials

4.5.1.6 The candidate’s teaching evaluations.

4.5.2 An oral presentation will accompany the Report when presented to the PTR Committee.

5. Formation of the Promotions, Tenure and Reappointment (PTR) Committee

5.1 The PTR Committee is composed of seven members who hold regular full-time appointments as tenured faculty. In the spring term, the faculty as a whole elects four members, one from each of the four Departments in the School (Architecture, Landscape Architecture, Urban and Environmental Planning and Architectural History) and the Dean then appoints three members. At least three members shall be full professors.

5.2 Appointed and elected members serve overlapping three year terms commencing August 1st of the year in which they have been selected. At least one year must pass before a subsequent term begins. Associate professors are ineligible to discuss or vote on promotion to professor.

5.3 When there are candidates for promotion to professor and the duly elected and appointed members of the PTR Committee do not number at least five full professors, additional members with the rank of professor are added to the Committee as follows: if one additional member is required, this person is elected by the faculty; if two additional members are required, one is elected by the faculty and one is appointed by the Dean. These additional members discuss and vote only on matters concerning promotion to professor.

5.4 The Dean appoints the Committee Chair after faculty elections are completed.

5.5 If a member of the PTR Committee is on leave or not in residence for a portion of their term, the Dean appoints an acting member for the duration of this absence.

5.6 In the event that a member of the PTR Committee is under consideration for promotion, they will not serve on the committee for the duration of the consideration of their case and the Dean will appoint an acting member.

5.7 Election Process:

5.7.1 Each spring, the outgoing Chair of the PTR Committee will circulate a list of faculty members who are eligible to be elected to the following year’s Committee.

5.7.2 Nominations for elected positions can be made by any tenured or tenure track full-
time faculty member at a meeting called to elect next year’s members by the outgoing Chair of the PTR Committee. Faculty members also may nominate any tenured faculty member to serve on the PTR Committee by submitting a nomination in writing and signed to the outgoing Chair of the Committee prior to the election meeting. Nominees may decline nominations only for good cause, as in the case that the nominee does not expect to be in residence at the School during the time of the Committee’s deliberations.

5.7.3 Voting will be completed prior to Dean’s appointments and during the first week in May or earlier. For the elected positions, full-time tenured and tenure-track faculty in the school vote by secret written ballot. The winners are determined by a plurality of the votes cast.

5.7.4 Absentee ballots must be submitted, signed and dated to the Dean’s Assistant before the election. An emailed ballot is an acceptable form of submission. An absentee vote submitted prior to the election is in effect both a nomination of, and a vote for, that faculty member. Faculty members nominated by ballots cast for them before the election will be included among the nominees identified. While each absentee ballot will be counted, the number of absentee votes for a faculty member will not be announced or included in a vote tally until after all the votes have been cast and counted.

6. Responsibilities and Procedures of the PTR Committee

6.1 The PTR Committee recommends (affirmatively or negatively) to the Dean—and informs the appropriate Department Chairs of its recommendation—those faculty members to be reappointed, promoted, and/or granted appointment without term (tenure).

6.2 In reviewing each Departmental recommendation, the Committee will consider the substantive recommendation, the process used to reach it, and the quality and thoroughness of the written documentation to support the recommendation. The Committee works from the documentation provided by the Department and checks this against its own review of the candidate’s files and its own assessment of the candidate’s case. If clarification or additional information is needed from the Department, the Committee may request it.

6.3 The Committee will have access to the materials submitted by the candidate (articles, books, course syllabi etc.) as soon as they are submitted. Each member of the committee has the responsibility to review these materials. Access to letters and other departmentally generated material is available only after the Department has completed its recommendation and documentation.

6.4 The Chair of the PTR Committee may assign one or two members from outside the candidate’s department to serve as secretary for each case in drafting and preparing the final Committee report and recommendation.

6.5 The Dean may be invited to any PTR meeting.

6.6 It is the responsibility of all members of the PTR Committee to participate in voting; abstentions are not permitted under normal circumstances. The PTR Committee Recommendation and Report, along with their vote totals, will be transmitted to the Dean.

6.7 The PTR Committee is responsible for initiating changes to the organization, procedures and policies for promotions, tenure and reappointment in consultation with the full-time faculty of the School.

7. Policy and Procedures for Outside Hires with Tenure or Retention:
The policies and procedures for outside hires with tenure or retention conform to the policies of the Provost and include the following:

7.1 Proposed outside hires with tenure by search committees will be referred to the PTR Committee by the Dean of the School of Architecture.

7.2 Whenever possible, the review and recommendations will proceed by the normal process.

7.3 The PTR Committee will make recommendations expeditiously to the Dean on proposed hires with tenure prior to formal contract offers.

7.4 The review by the PTR committee can take place with fewer than a full committee, but at least three members of the PTR committee must participate in these deliberations or, if three members are not available, the Dean will appoint tenured faculty sufficient to reach three.

7.5 Responsibility for providing the PTR Committee with information required for its deliberation will be shared by the Chair of the Search Committee, the Chair of the Department and the Dean. Materials for review by the PTR Committee will be held in the Dean’s office.

7.6 The PTR Committee deliberations will include receiving letters proposing and explaining the hires by the Chair of the respective Department and the Chair of the Search Committee and will include opportunities to discuss the proposed hire with one or both of these Chairs.

7.7 Letters from three or more outside experts assessing the quantity, quality, importance and impact of the candidate’s scholarship or creative work will be obtained by the Search Committee or Department Chair for review by the PTR committee. Documentation of teaching effectiveness will be expected. Solicitation of this information as part of the search process will assist in expediting the assessment.

7.8 PTR members will be responsible for reviewing the candidate’s curriculum vitae, letter of interest, course syllabi, publications, and/or other materials sufficient to make responsible judgments during deliberations.

7.9 The Chair of the PTR Committee will chair this deliberative process, or if the Chair is unavailable, the Dean will appoint another PTR member to chair the PTR deliberations.

7.10 The PTR Committee will submit a recommendation in writing to the Dean. This recommendation will be available in the Dean’s Office for faculty review.

C. Promotion and Reappointment Review for Academic General Faculty in the School of Architecture

1. General Overview

This policy for promotion and reappointment review for Academic General Faculty is in line with the School’s policy for Academic General Faculty Members (Tenure-Ineligible) and with the Provost’s policy on Employment of Academic General Faculty Members (Tenure-Ineligible) (PROV-004).

The promotion and reappointment for Academic General Faculty at the School of Architecture follows one of the following scenarios: a) those hired as assistant professors seeking reappointment and promotion, b) those hired as associate professors seeking reappointment and promotion, and c) those hired as full professors seeking reappointment. In all scenarios, candidates must undergo reappointment review after serving the first three continuous years. Candidates may choose to proceed to promotion after serving a minimum of six continuous years, unless a shorter track to promotion in rank was stipulated in the candidate’s
appointment letter. In exceptional circumstances, a candidate may elect to be promoted earlier than the normal 6 years of continuous service, with the support of the Department Chair and faculty members at appropriate ranks. Those seeking promotion in rank should initiate the process in consultation with the Department Chair by the end of February, following the same schedule in Appendix I.

The review of Academic General Faculty members for promotion and reappointment within the School is a four-stage process:

In the first stage, which is entirely the responsibility of the candidate, the candidate assembles materials for review and writes a succinct (approximately 2,500 words) statement outlining his or her accomplishments to date and future vision in the areas of responsibility. The candidate is responsible for framing this material in a way that sketches the broad and compelling nature of the case.

In the second stage the Dean appoints two Academic General Faculty members from the department if possible, at a rank equal or higher than the rank being sought by the candidate, to assist the Department Chair with the preparation of the case. If two Academic General Faculty members at appropriate ranks from the department are not available, appropriate Academic General Faculty members from other departments of the University whose expertise match closely with, and whose distributions of responsibilities are similar to the candidate’s own, should be considered. The preparation of the candidate’s case includes the determination of the applicable promotion criteria based on the distribution of responsibilities stipulated in the relevant appointment letters, and the solicitation of letters by the Department Chair from inside and/or outside reviewers with knowledge in the candidate’s field. The cover letter addressed to the internal/external reviewers needs to explain the nature of the candidate’s appointment as an Academic General Faculty including the distribution of responsibilities. The Chair with the two Academic General Faculty members presents the case to the tenured faculty and general faculty who have successfully gone through promotion and reappointment, each of whom writes an evaluation of the case and participates in the meeting and formal vote on the case. Those who are on leave or are unable to participate in the meeting or vote submit their evaluation and vote in writing to the Chair. A detailed Report and Recommendation of the Department along with supporting evidence, and the faculty vote, is prepared by the Department Chair and transmitted to the School General Faculty Promotion and Reappointment (GFPR, see 5.) Committee. The presentation of this report in person allows the GFPR Committee the opportunity to question the Department Chair. In the case of promotion to full professor, only full professors (tenured and general faculty) engage in the deliberations.

In the third stage of the review, the General Faculty Promotion and Reappointment Committee reviews the candidate’s materials, letters of evaluation, and the Report and Recommendation of the Department to make a recommendation. The GFPR Committee will assess the thoroughness, fairness and appropriateness of the Department’s review. The Committee may seek clarification or additional information from the Department.

The GFPR Committee Recommendation and Report, along with their own vote totals, will be transmitted to the Dean.

In the fourth-stage of the review the Dean writes his or her recommendations, reflecting his or her own judgments on the substance, the process, and the recommended outcome. The Department review and General Faculty Promotion and Reappointment Committee reviews are advisory. The Dean exercises independent judgment and communicates the decision to the Candidate, Department Chair and Chair of GFPR Committee. The Dean’s recommendation is transmitted to the Provost.

The following policies and procedures communicate the specifics of this process.
2. Definition and Qualifications for Promotion

An Academic General Faculty member considered for promotion will be reviewed according to the distribution of effort between teaching, research and service that is clearly stipulated in the appointment letter. All appointment letters covering the academic career of the candidate will be considered to determine the weighting of evaluation criteria. Any change of distribution of responsibilities over the reviewing period will be taken into account for the evaluation.

2.1 Criteria for Promotion and Reappointment for Academic General Faculty on the Teaching Track:

2.1.1 In accord with the Provost Office Policy, Academic General Faculty on the teaching track who pursue promotion to Associate Professor must demonstrate sustained excellence in teaching and they must demonstrate that they have attained, at minimum, a local or regional reputation as a superior educator. While consistently strong teaching evaluations are one mechanism for demonstrating this excellence, they are not sufficient on their own. Teaching evaluations must be supplemented with consistently strong course materials, course outcomes, and letters of accolade from colleagues specifically celebrating the candidate’s excellence as an educator, which may include teaching awards (internal or external to the University) as evidence to this end.

2.1.2 In accord with the Provost Office Policy, Academic General Faculty on the teaching track who pursue promotion to Full Professor must demonstrate sustained excellence in teaching and they must demonstrate that they have attained a regional, national, or international reputation as a superior educator. While consistently strong teaching evaluations are one mechanism for demonstrating this excellence, they are not sufficient on their own. Teaching evaluations must be supplemented with consistently strong course materials, course outcomes, and letters of accolade from colleagues specifically celebrating the candidate’s excellence as an educator. Regional, national, or international teaching awards are evidence to this end.

2.1.3 In considering promotion of teaching faculty, the quality of design research, scholarship and service will also be evaluated in addition to teaching according to the distribution of effort between teaching, research, and service as outlined in the faculty member’s contract.

2.2 Criteria for Promotion for Academic General Faculty on the Research Track:

2.2.1 In accord with the Provost Office Policy, Academic General Faculty on the research track who pursue promotion to Associate Professor must demonstrate a substantial record of scholarship that establishes them as a local, regional, or national leader in their sub-field or area of research. This reputation can be demonstrated through peer-reviewed published research, exhibited design work and creative scholarship, or other scholarly products, and recognition at the local, regional, or national level in their discipline or sub-discipline for their research and/or creative scholarship.

2.2.2 In accord with the Provost Office Policy, Academic General Faculty on the research track who pursue promotion to Full Professor must demonstrate a substantial record of research or creative scholarship that establishes them as a national or international leader in their sub-field or area of research. This reputation can be demonstrated through peer-reviewed published research, exhibited design work and creative scholarship, or other scholarly products, and recognition at the national or international level in their discipline or sub-discipline for their research and/or creative scholarship.
2.2.3 In considering promotion of research faculty, the quality of teaching and service will also be evaluated in addition to research according to the distribution of effort between teaching, research, and service as outlined in the faculty member’s contract.

2.3 Criteria for Promotion for Academic General Faculty on the Practice Track:

2.3.1 In accord with the Provost Office Policy, Academic General Faculty on the practice track who pursue promotion to Associate Professor must demonstrate a substantial record of achievement within professional practice that establishes them as a local, regional, or national leader in their professional discipline. This reputation can be demonstrated through peer-reviewed and other forms of publication of professional work, as well as local, regional and national honors, awards and other accolades for contributions to their professions.

2.3.2 In accord with the Provost Office Policy, Academic General Faculty on the practice track who pursue promotion to Full Professor or reappointment at the same rank must demonstrate a substantial record of achievement within professional practice that establishes them as a national or international leader in their professional discipline. This reputation can be demonstrated through peer-reviewed and other forms of publication of professional work, as well as national and international honors, awards and other accolades for contributions to their professions.

2.3.3 In accord with the Provost Office Policy, Academic General Faculty Members on the practice track focus on integrating their professional experience within the academic mission of the School. For consideration of promotion, professors of practice must demonstrate sustained excellence in teaching. While consistently strong teaching evaluations are one mechanism for demonstrating this excellence, they are not sufficient on their own. Teaching evaluations must be supplemented with consistently strong course materials, course outcomes, and letters of accolade from colleagues specifically celebrating the candidate’s excellence in integrating professional expertise into their teaching.

2.3.4 In considering promotion of practice faculty, the quality of teaching, research and service will be evaluated according to the distribution of effort between teaching, research, and service as outlined in the faculty member’s contract.

3. Promotion and Reappointment

For contract renewal, non-renewal and termination for general faculty, the School of Architecture follows the provost’s policy PROV-004, IV.

For Expectation of Continued Employment (ECE) for eligible Academic General Faculty, the School of Architecture follows the provost’s policy PROV-004, IX.

The procedures for promotion and reappointment of Academic General Faculty will follow those for tenure-track and tenured faculty with the exception of the types of materials to be submitted as follows:

3.1 Curriculum Vitae: The CV should clearly distinguish between peer-reviewed and non-reviewed publications.

3.2 References: Promotion candidates provide names and addresses of six internal and/or external reviewers who have knowledge of the candidate’s teaching, creative work, or service as applicable. In addition, candidates in the teaching track should provide names of at least three former students.
3.3 Statement of Intent: Provide a statement describing teaching philosophy, research foci, or principles of practice, corresponding to the candidate’s track, and related work now in progress. The statement should be directed toward a broader audience than the candidate’s department, since this statement will be read by the internal and/or external reviewers, the faculty of the Department and the School, and the Provost’s Promotion and Tenure Committee. The statement should explain the candidate’s qualifications, the area of expertise, the evidence of recognition, the impact in the field or allied fields of scholarship and creative work, and the trajectory for future work.

3.4 The supporting materials should include a combination of the following materials in correspondence with the candidate’s track and distribution of responsibilities:

4.4.1 Teaching materials such as course outlines, design programs, syllabi and a few samples of student work, as well as teaching evaluations.

4.4.2 Selected photographs or drawings of the most significant projects and built work.

4.4.3 Selected publications, including books, articles, publications of work or other forms of dissemination.

4.4.4 Work in preparation for publication.

4.4.5 For work prepared jointly with others, describe the candidate’s role and contribution.

3.5 Candidates should submit materials in an indexed pdf of approximate maximum length 300 pages, accompanied by publications in original form when possible.

4.5.1 The candidate is responsible for assembling all materials. Original materials submitted for School of Architecture review will be returned after the review is complete.

4.5.2 The candidate may provide printed copies of their materials if desired, in addition to the digital copy.

3.6 Reappointment after Promotion

3.6.1 Academic General Faculty after successful promotion review will continue to be reappointed for three-year terms after a review conducted by the Department Chair and the Dean. Notice for Non-Renewal may only be given with the provost’s written permission and only if one of the two conditions as outlined in the provost’s policy PROV-004, II. E. applies.

3.6.2 Academic General Faculty member hired at the rank of associate professor and above will go through a review process after the initial appointment term by the GFP Committee. Once the renewal is successful, C. 3.6.1. applies to them.

3.7 Denial of Promotion

3.7.1 In accord with the Provost Office Policy, an Academic General Faculty Member whose promotion review is unsuccessful may request reappointment with the same rank.

3.7.2 After denial of promotion, the candidate may seek for promotion again after a minimum of three years.
4. Responsibilities of the Departments:

The responsibilities of the department follow those for tenured and tenure-track faculty (see section B. 4.) with the exception of the review and voting process which is prepared by a team consisting of the Chair and two Academic General Faculty members, and which includes general faculty who have successfully gone through promotion and reappointment in addition to the tenured faculty of the department (see section C. 1).

5. Formation of the General Faculty Promotion Committee

5.1 The General Faculty Promotion and Reappointment (GFPR) Committee is composed of seven members, including the Chair of the Promotion, Tenure and Reappointment (PTR) Committee who also serves as the Chair of the GFPR Committee, and three members of the PTR Committee (see section B. 5) and three Academic General Faculty Members at a rank equal or higher than the one sought by the candidate appointed by the Dean in consultation with the Chair of the PTR Committee. At least one member of the GFPR Committee should be from each of the four Departments in the School.

5.2 Members of the GFPR Committee serve overlapping three year terms commencing August 1st of the year in which they have been appointed.

5.3 In case of promotion to full professor, only full professors will discuss and vote; when the members of the GFPR Committee do not number at least five full professors, additional members with the rank of professor are added, appointed by the Dean.

5.4 If a member of the GFPR Committee is on leave or not in residence for a portion of their term, the Dean appoints an acting member for the duration of this absence.

5.5 In the event that a member of the GFPR Committee is under consideration for promotion, they will not serve on the committee for the duration of the consideration of their case and the Dean will appoint an acting member.

6. Responsibilities and Procedures of the GFPR Committee

The responsibilities and procedures for the GFPR Committee correspond to those of the PTR Committee in relation to tenured/tenure-track faculty (see section B. 6).
Appendix 1:
PROCEDURE SCHEDULE GUIDELINES
UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA SCHOOL OF ARCHITECTURE
Promotion, Tenure and Reappointment (PTR)

The following guidelines outline a typical sequence of events in the review of reappointment tenure and promotion cases. These guidelines are a reference to provide some degree of uniformity from year to year. It is the candidate’s responsibility to adhere to this schedule in order to allow the Departments, PTR Committee, and Dean to meet their schedule commitments.

1. By the end of February, the Dean advises the Department chairs and the chair of the PTR Committee of the current status of all faculty in the School of Architecture including their rank and term of appointment.

2. In early March, the chair of the PTR Committee requests nominations of candidates for tenure promotion or reappointment from full-time faculty.

3. By early April, nominated and eligible faculty for reappointment, promotion or tenure submit an up-to-date curriculum vitae, letter of intent and references to the Chair of their Department.

4. The candidate assembles materials to support the case, and submits these materials to the Department chair. In the Departments of Urban and Environmental Planning and Architectural History the deadline for submitting these materials is the beginning of June. In the Department of Architecture and Landscape Architecture, the deadline is the beginning of August.

5. Once the candidate’s materials are in hand, the Department chair initiates the Departmental Review of the candidate’s credentials. The Chair, not the candidate, makes all contact with external reviewers concerning case matters.

6. By early November, for tenure and tenure-track candidates, the Department Chair holds a meeting of tenured Department faculty to discuss each tenure and reappointment case and conduct a poll. For general faculty candidates, the Department Chair together with two appointed general faculty members hold a meeting of tenured and senior general faculty members to discuss each promotion and reappointment case and conduct a poll.

7. By mid-November, the Department chair submits to the PTR/GFPR Committee a written and oral recommendation for the candidate, accompanied by appropriate documentation.

8. By the end of the Fall semester, the PTR/GFPR Committee reports its recommendations to the Dean in writing, making available all materials from its deliberations.

9. By early February, the Dean transmits his or her own decision to the Provost.

10. By mid-February, the Dean meets with each candidate to inform them of the Department recommendation, the PTR/GFPR committee review, and the Dean’s decision. The Department Chair and PTR Chair also shall be at this meeting. The candidate will receive a copy of the PTR/GFPR Committee report. The names of reviewers and other information
that may compromise the reviewer's confidentiality will be deleted from this copy. Another copy of the report, which will be placed in the candidate’s file, will be signed by the candidate indicating that the meeting had occurred and the candidate had been informed of the recommendations to the Dean.

11. In the case of a negative recommendation, the Dean will notify and meet with the candidate to inform the candidate of his/her decision no later than February 1. Candidates may submit a written appeal within 30 days of being notified of the School’s decision. Appeals should be accompanied by adequate documentation and with a statement of reasons as to why the recommendation is believed to be inappropriate.

12. By late spring, the Dean informs the candidate of the Provost’s decision.

13. Prior to the end of each spring semester, the Chair of PTR Committee convenes an All School faculty meeting to consider proposed changes in PTR policies and procedures and to elect new members to the PTR and the GFPR Committees.

14. Following elections, the Dean makes any appointments to the PTR and the GFPR Committees and names the Chair for the following year.
Appendix 2:
GUIDELINES FOR ASSESSMENT OF DESIGN RESEARCH
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Promotion, Tenure and Reappointment (PTR)

1. Definition
Design research is the discovery and creation of new knowledge as both the study of design, and through the process of design. It can be understood as both investigative and long-term, holistic problem-solving. Investigative design research examines aspects of present and historical conditions that constitute or have constituted the field of design, and problem-solving design research presents design solutions to existing and anticipated problems. In its most basic form, design research is non-verbal, even though verbalization is essential to the creation and transformation of design research into a communicable knowledge. It is a knowledge with tremendous value and influence imbued with ethical, aesthetic, and technical dimensions. In both ways, the qualities that ensure new knowledge production in design research include the following:

1.1 Critical. Design research functions, in formulation and execution, as effective critical responses to existing and anticipated built environmental conditions and aspects of creative design deemed unsatisfactory.

1.2 Innovative. Design research represents new outcomes and methods that are not evident in existing practice or fills lacunae of existing knowledge.

1.3 Rigorous. As risk-taking critical and innovative process of creating new knowledge, design research demonstrates measurable high quality in the self-reflective use of techniques, gathering of evidence, and composition of assemblies.

2. Roles of Faculty
Design research has inherently soft boundaries, and it is often the case that one faculty member works in several fields simultaneously. The School of Architecture sees two broad and equally effective roles of faculty with regard to their research output.

2.1 Scholar-Researcher. The primary field of work of the scholar-researcher is in an area of specialized knowledge, in a wider context of crossing over to other knowledge and practice fields. The assessment of research is based on the output within the chosen field.

2.2 Practioner-Researcher. The primary field of work of the practitioner-researcher is in design research, in a wider context of engaging with other knowledge and practice fields. The assessment of research is based on the output in the field of creative work.

3. Modes of Production
Design research is situated in a wide range of research modes that typically take place in the School of Architecture; it is often the case that design research results from assemblies of several modes of research in the following categories:
3.1 Design and Other Creative Practices
Visualization, representation, design, art theory, digital representation, design process, professional practice, design/build of physical construction, art exhibition, installation. Experimental technologies, prototyping, digital fabrication, etc.

3.2. Sciences and Technology
Environmental systems, structures, construction, digital design and fabrication, materials, prefabrication and modular construction, information technologies, post-occupancy, site and building information modeling, project delivery, practice studies, sustainability and high-performance sites and buildings, resilience, healthcare, and other performance metrics.

3.3 Community Engagement
A combination of applied and community service practice, public interest design, community engagement, community-based participatory research, social impact design focused on transformation of social organizations/groups beyond the academy or extending across the academy-public community boundaries, to include transdisciplinary methodologies.

3.4 History and Theory, Cultural Studies/Humanities, and Social Sciences
Humanities-based scholarship focused on historical subjects and theoretical discourse, cultural studies/humanities, and social science-based subjects as well as scholarship on architectural education and pedagogy.

4. Evidence of Quality and Impact
Design research is assessed through evidence about quality and impact. Assessment may differ in different Departments of the School and should be clearly presented with similar degree of differentiation of quality and impact.

4.1 Evidence of High Quality/Impact
National and international recognition through awards, winning entries for competitions, exhibitions, consultancies, and publications of creative and scholarly works in venues of peer recognition and high levels of national and international influence. Other indicators include high citation in established citation indexes, awards of highly competitive grants, delivery of keynote speeches.

4.2 Evidence of Moderate Quality/Impact
The above range of research output of creative and scholarly works in venues of moderate national and international influence. Conference presentations and publications.

4.3 Evidence of Limited Quality/Impact
The above range of research output of creative and scholarly works in venues of limited national and international influence.